

## Tilburg University

### Comment on 'microeconomic demand systems with binding non-negativity constraints

van Soest, A.H.O.; Kooreman, P.

*Publication date:*  
1986

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

van Soest, A. H. O., & Kooreman, P. (1986). *Comment on 'microeconomic demand systems with binding non-negativity constraints: The dual approach'*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 226). Unknown Publisher.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM  
R

7626

1986

226

**FEW**



\* C I N O O 3 9 0 \*

faculteit der economische wetenschappen

## RESEARCH MEMORANDUM



TILBURG UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Postbus 90153 - 5000 LE Tilburg

Netherlands



FEW  
226



330.115  
330.5

Comment on 'Microeconomic Demand Systems  
with Binding Non-Negativity Constraints:  
The Dual Approach' \*

Arthur van Soest  
Peter Kooreman

June 1986

Department of Econometrics  
Tilburg University  
P.O. Box 90153  
5000 LE Tilburg  
The Netherlands

\* Helpful comments by Arie Kapteyn are gratefully acknowledged.

## Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction	1
2. Derivation of the likelihood function	1
3. Discussion	6
Reference	7

## 1. Introduction

In a recent issue of Econometrica Lee and Pitt (1986) advocate the virtual price approach to the problem of binding non-negativity constraints in demand analysis. For a wide range of flexible specifications for demand systems, including the Almost Ideal Demand System and the Indirect Translog model, the direct utility function cannot be derived explicitly, which precludes the direct use of Kuhn-Tucker conditions to derive conditional demand equations when some non-negativity constraints are binding. The apparent advantage of the dual approach is that it does not require a direct utility function to derive conditional demand equations.

To obtain the likelihood function for a sample of independent observations Lee and Pitt derive the likelihood contributions corresponding to all possible demand regimes (defined as the set of positively consumed goods). However, these regimes are only guaranteed to be uniquely characterized if the cost function underlying the demand system is globally concave in prices. In Section 2 we show that for the Indirect Translog specification proposed by Lee and Pitt the lack of global concavity yields the anomaly that the probabilities of observing each of the regimes do generally not sum to unity. Consequently, the likelihood function is not well-defined and parameter estimates will be inconsistent.

## 2. Derivation of the likelihood function

The share equations of the proposed Indirect Translog specification are:

$$(1) \quad v_i q_i = \frac{\alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^K \beta_{ij} \ln v_j + \epsilon_i}{D} \quad i = 1, \dots, K$$

where  $D = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \beta_{ij} \ln v_j$ . Here  $v_i$  is a normalized price,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_{ij}$  are parameters and  $\epsilon_i$  is a random variable representing stochastic



preferences;  $q_i$  is the so-called notional demand ( $i, j = 1, \dots, K$ ). For normalization Lee and Pitt set  $\sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i = -1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^K \epsilon_i = 0$ .

The demand regime where the first  $\ell$  goods are not consumed is characterized by the conditions

$$(2a) \quad \Pi_i(\bar{v}) < v_i \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell$$

$$(2b) \quad x_i > 0 \quad i = \ell+1, \dots, K$$

Here  $\Pi_i(\bar{v})$  is the virtual price of the  $i$ -th good and  $\bar{v}$  is the set of market prices of the positively consumed goods;  $x_i$  is the demand for the  $i$ -th good ( $i = \ell+1, \dots, K$ ) given that the first  $\ell$  goods are not consumed. These conditions follow from the Kuhn-Tucker conditions and the concept of virtual prices, assuming that the direct utility function is continuously differentiable, quasi-concave and increasing.

In the following, we only consider three goods, where the third good is always consumed in positive amounts. (These assumptions do not affect the generality of our argument.)

The conditions for observing positive demands for both good 1 and good 2 (regime I) are

$$(3) \quad \frac{\epsilon_i^*}{D} > 0 \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$\text{where } \epsilon_i^* = \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 \beta_{ij} \ln v_j + \epsilon_i. \quad 1)$$

The virtual price of good 1 if  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 > 0$  is given by

$$(4) \quad \ln \Pi_1 = -(\alpha_1 + \sum_{j=2}^3 \beta_{1j} \ln v_j + \epsilon_1) / \beta_{11}$$

From (2a) and (2b) it follows that the conditions for observing zero demand for good 1 and positive demand for good 2 (regime II) are

1) Lee and Pitt implicitly assume  $D < 0$ .

$$(5a) \quad \frac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta_{11}} > 0$$

$$(5b) \quad \frac{\epsilon_2^* - \frac{\beta_{12}}{\beta_{11}} \epsilon_1^*}{D - \frac{\beta_{.1}}{\beta_{11}} \epsilon_1^*} > 0$$

where  $\beta_{.j} = \sum_{i=1}^K \beta_{ij}$ . The left hand side of (5b) is obtained by replacing  $\ln v_1$  in (1) by  $\ln \pi_1$  given in (4).

Analogously, the conditions for observing positive demand for good 1 and zero demand for good 2 (regime III) are

$$(6a) \quad \frac{\epsilon_2^*}{\beta_{22}} > 0$$

$$(6b) \quad \frac{\epsilon_1^* - \frac{\beta_{12}}{\beta_{22}} \epsilon_2^*}{D - \frac{\beta_{.2}}{\beta_{22}} \epsilon_2^*} > 0$$

The virtual prices of goods 1 and 2 if both  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 0$  are given by

$$(7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \ln \pi_1 \\ \ln \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} = -B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \beta_{13} \ln v_3 \\ \alpha_2 + \beta_{23} \ln v_3 \end{pmatrix} - B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $B = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{12} & \beta_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ . The conditions for observing zero demands for both goods (regime IV) are  $\begin{pmatrix} \ln \pi_1 \\ \ln \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} < \begin{pmatrix} \ln v_1 \\ \ln v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , which can be written as

$$(8a) \quad \frac{\beta_{22} \epsilon_1^*}{\beta_{11} \beta_{22} - \beta_{12}^2} > \frac{\beta_{12} \epsilon_2^*}{\beta_{11} \beta_{22} - \beta_{12}^2}$$

and



$$(8b) \quad \frac{\beta_{11}\epsilon_2^*}{\beta_{11}\beta_{22} - \beta_{12}^2} > \frac{\beta_{12}\epsilon_1^*}{\beta_{11}\beta_{22} - \beta_{12}^2}$$

For each regime the conditions correspond to a particular region in the  $(\epsilon_1^*, \epsilon_2^*)$ -plane. In figure 1 these regions are indicated for  $D < 0$ ,  $\beta_{11} < 0$  and  $0 < \beta_{\cdot 1} < \beta_{12} < \beta_{22} < \beta_{\cdot 2}$ .




Figure 1. Regimes in  $(\epsilon_1^*, \epsilon_2^*)$ -plane

Clearly, in this example the conditions define sets in the  $(\epsilon_1^*, \epsilon_2^*)$ -plane which are neither mutually exclusive nor exhaustive. As a consequence the probabilities of observing each of the regimes do not add up to unity.

In figure 2 the regions are indicated for  $D < 0 < \beta_{12} < \beta_{11} = \beta_{22} < \beta_{\cdot 1} = \beta_{\cdot 2}$ .




Figure 2.

In this case the regimes are properly defined in the sense that the conditions define mutually disjoint and exhaustive sets.

It is straightforward to show that, for  $D < 0$ , the demand regimes are uniquely characterized if and only if the matrix  $B$  is positive definite and  $\beta_{.1} > 0$  and  $\beta_{.2} > 0$ .

Apparently, the likelihood function is only well-defined for a restricted subset of the parameter space and a restricted range of exogenous variables (note that  $D$  depends on the normalized prices, which differ per observation).

A unique characterization of the regimes for all possible values of the exogenous variables will be guaranteed if the cost function underlying the demand system is globally concave. For the translog model concavity is satisfied if the matrix defined by

$$(9) \quad c_{ij} = \frac{1}{D} \{ -\delta_{ij} v_i q_i^D + \beta_{ij} v_i q_i \beta_{.j} - v_j q_j \beta_{.i} + v_i q_i v_j q_j (\sum_j \beta_{.j} + D) \}$$

is negative semi-definite ( $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise). Note that for  $v_1 q_1 = v_2 q_2 = 0$  (regime IV) this requires the matrix  $B$  to be positive semi-definite if  $D < 0$ . As is easily seen from (9) global concavity cannot be imposed by restricting parameters without completely sacrificing flexibility.

### 3. Discussion

The advantage of the approach proposed by Lee and Pitt is that it in principle allows for consistent estimation using all observations. Moreover, in contrast to the approach based on the direct use of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions, Lee and Pitt's framework allows for the use of flexible functional forms.

However, as we have shown in section 2, restrictions on the parameters and exogenous variables are required for the model to make sense. Since these restrictions also are a condition sine qua non for the likelihood function to be well-defined, they have to be imposed during estimation.

Reference

Lee, L.F. and M.M. Pitt (1986), "Microeconomic Demand Systems with Binding Non-Negativity Constraints: The Dual Approach", Econometrica.

IN 1985 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 168 T.M. Doup, A.J.J. Talman  
A continuous deformation algorithm on the product space of unit  
simplices
- 169 P.A. Bekker  
A note on the identification of restricted factor loading matrices
- 170 J.H.M. Donders, A.M. van Nunen  
Economische politiek in een twee-sectoren-model
- 171 L.H.M. Bosch, W.A.M. de Lange  
Shift work in health care
- 172 B.B. van der Genugten  
Asymptotic Normality of Least Squares Estimators in Autoregressive  
Linear Regression Models
- 173 R.J. de Groof  
Geïsoleerde versus gecoördineerde economische politiek in een twee-  
regiomodel
- 174 G. van der Laan, A.J.J. Talman  
Adjustment processes for finding economic equilibria
- 175 B.R. Meijboom  
Horizontal mixed decomposition
- 176 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw  
Non-cooperative strategies for dynamic policy games and the problem  
of time inconsistency: a comment
- 177 B.R. Meijboom  
A two-level planning procedure with respect to make-or-buy deci-  
sions, including cost allocations
- 178 N.J. de Beer  
Voorspelprestaties van het Centraal Planbureau in de periode 1953  
t/m 1980
- 178a N.J. de Beer  
BIJLAGEN bij Voorspelprestaties van het Centraal Planbureau in de  
periode 1953 t/m 1980
- 179 R.J.M. Alessie, A. Kapteyn, W.H.J. de Freytas  
De invloed van demografische factoren en inkomen op consumptieve  
uitgaven
- 180 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn  
Estimation of a game theoretic model of household labor supply
- 181 A.J. de Zeeuw, A.C. Meijdam  
On Expectations, Information and Dynamic Game Equilibria

- 182 Cristina Pennavaja  
Periodization approaches of capitalist development.  
A critical survey
- 183 J.P.C. Kleijnen, G.L.J. Kloppenburg and F.L. Meeuwssen  
Testing the mean of an asymmetric population: Johnson's modified T  
test revisited
- 184 M.O. Nijkamp, A.M. van Nunen  
Freia versus Vintaf, een analyse
- 185 A.H.M. Gerards  
Homomorphisms of graphs to odd cycles
- 186 P. Bekker, A. Kapteyn, T. Wansbeek  
Consistent sets of estimates for regressions with correlated or  
uncorrelated measurement errors in arbitrary subsets of all  
variables
- 187 P. Bekker, J. de Leeuw  
The rank of reduced dispersion matrices
- 188 A.J. de Zeeuw, F. van der Ploeg  
Consistency of conjectures and reactions: a critique
- 189 E.N. Kertzman  
Belastingstructuur en privatisering
- 190 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Simulation with too many factors: review of random and group-  
screening designs
- 191 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
A Scenario for Sequential Experimentation
- 192 A. Dortmans  
De loonvergelijking  
Afwenteling van collectieve lasten door loontrekkers?
- 193 R. Heuts, J. van Lieshout, K. Baken  
The quality of some approximation formulas in a continuous review  
inventory model
- 194 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Analyzing simulation experiments with common random numbers
- 195 P.M. Kort  
Optimal dynamic investment policy under financial restrictions and  
adjustment costs
- 196 A.H. van den Elzen, G. van der Laan, A.J.J. Talman  
Adjustment processes for finding equilibria on the simplotope



- 197 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Variance heterogeneity in experimental design
- 198 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Selecting random number seeds in practice
- 199 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Regression analysis of simulation experiments: functional software specification
- 200 G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman  
An algorithm for the linear complementarity problem with upper and lower bounds
- 201 P. Kooreman  
Alternative specification tests for Tobit and related models

IN 1986 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 202 J.H.F. Schilderinck  
Interregional Structure of the European Community. Part III
- 203 Antoon van den Elzen and Dolf Talman  
A new strategy-adjustment process for computing a Nash equilibrium  
in a noncooperative more-person game
- 204 Jan Vingerhoets  
Fabrication of copper and copper semis in developing countries.  
A review of evidence and opportunities.
- 205 R. Heuts, J. v. Lieshout, K. Baken  
An inventory model: what is the influence of the shape of the lead  
time demand distribution?
- 206 A. v. Soest, P. Kooreman  
A Microeconomic Analysis of Vacation Behavior
- 207 F. Boekema, A. Nagelkerke  
Labour Relations, Networks, Job-creation and Regional Development  
A view to the consequences of technological change
- 208 R. Alessie, A. Kapteyn  
Habit Formation and Interdependent Preferences in the Almost Ideal  
Demand System
- 209 T. Wansbeek, A. Kapteyn  
Estimation of the error components model with incomplete panels
- 210 A.L. Hempenius  
The relation between dividends and profits
- 211 J. Kriens, J.Th. van Lieshout  
A generalisation and some properties of Markowitz' portfolio  
selection method
- 212 Jack P.C. Kleijnen and Charles R. Standridge  
Experimental design and regression analysis in simulation: an FMS  
case study
- 213 T.M. Doup, A.H. van den Elzen and A.J.J. Talman  
Simplicial algorithms for solving the non-linear complementarity  
problem on the simplotope
- 214 A.J.W. van de Gevel  
The theory of wage differentials: a correction
- 215 J.P.C. Kleijnen, W. van Groenendaal  
Regression analysis of factorial designs with sequential replica-  
tion

- 216 T.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm  
Consistent estimation of rational expectations models
- 217 P.M. Kort  
The firm's investment policy under a concave adjustment cost function
- 218 J.P.C. Kleijnen  
Decision Support Systems (DSS), en de kleren van de keizer ...
- 219 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman  
A continuous deformation algorithm on the product space of unit simplices
- 220 T.M. Doup and A.J.J. Talman  
The 2-ray algorithm for solving equilibrium problems on the unit simplex
- 221 Th. van de Klundert, P. Peters  
Price Inertia in a Macroeconomic Model of Monopolistic Competition
- 222 Christian Mulder  
Testing Korteweg's rational expectations model for a small open economy
- 223 A.C. Meijdam, J.E.J. Plasmans  
Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Econometric Models with Rational Expectations of Current Endogenous Variables
- 224 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Arthur van Soest  
Non-convex budget sets, institutional constraints and imposition of concavity in a flexibele household labor supply model.
- 225 R.J. de Groof  
Internationale coördinatie van economische politiek in een twee-regio-twee-sectoren model.

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01059720 2